arXiv:cond-mat/0106087v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 5 Jun 2001

Renormalization Group Theory for a Perturbed KdV Equation

Tao Tu,[1*] and Hua Sheng[2]
[1] *Center of Nonlinear Science,*
University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, P.R.China
[2] *Department of Physics,*
University of Maryland, College park, MD 20742 U.S.A
(6 Jun 2001)

We show that renormalization group(RG) theory can be used to give an analytic description of the evolution of a perturbed KdV equation. The equations describing the deformation of its shape as the effect of perturbation are RG equations. The RG approach may be simpler than inverse scattering theory(IST) and another approaches, because it dose not rely on any knowledge of IST and it is very concise and easy to understand. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that RG has been used in this way for the perturbed soliton dynamics.

PACS numbers: 64.60.Ak, 02.30.Mv, 52.35.MW, 42.65.Tg

The standard soliton equations(KdV, NLS, Sine-Gordon and so on.)are highly idealized. In more realistic situations, it is important to understand nonlinear evolution equations under the influence of perturbations.$^{[1-2]}$ Several different approaches to the analytic description of soliton dynamics in these perturbed systems are known. The most powerful method to deal with these cases is based on IST.$^{[3-4]}$ It turns out that for any nonlinear evolution equation which is reasonably close to a nonlinear evolution equation that can be exactly solved by IST, the total evolution of the scattering data can be given and also be expanded in a perturbation expansion. Thus one can determine the effects of perturbations such as small dissipations, relaxations, etc., on the evolution of these exactly solvable nonlinear evolution equations, and in particular, the effects on the soliton states. These equations which describe the time dependence of the scattering data can be solved in the "adiabatic" approximation. But it is inconvenient to use for one who is not familiar with IST. Another alternative way to study soliton perturbations is so-called direct perturbation theory based on a Green's function and a rather standard 'two-timing' procedure.$^{[5-8]}$ In this scheme, a basic technical ingredient is to construct a Green's function. Then the time dependence of the soliton parameters and the first-order correction are readily available. But they stated that their method partially requires the knowledge of IST.

In this paper we perform the RG approach to the perturbed KdV equation and compare the result with another methods. We demonstrate that an analytic description of the evolution of a soliton, including the deformation of its shape and tail formation can be given, and the equations describing the evolution of the soliton amplitude and velocity as the effect of perturbation are RG equations.The apparent advantage of the RG approach is that the starting point is simply a straightforward naive perturbation expansion, for which very little a *priori* knowledge is required. We also see that the RG approach may be more efficient and concise in practice than another methods.

The KdV equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \tag{1}$$

has a single soliton solution such as

$$u = 2A_0^2 \sec h^2 z, \quad z = A_0(x-\xi), \quad \xi = 4A_0^2 t + \xi_0 \tag{2}$$

where A_0 is the amplitude and ξ_0 is the initial position of the soliton.

Now we consider the perturbed KdV equation$^{[9]}$

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = \varepsilon R[u] \tag{3}$$

with the initial condition

$$u(x,0) = 2A_0^2 \sec h^2 A_0(x-\xi_0) \tag{4}$$

To proceed, we construct a $\varepsilon-$perturbation to this equation

$$u = u_0 + \varepsilon u_1 + ... \tag{5}$$

Put it into Eq.(3), we have

$$u_{0t} + 6u_0u_{0x} + u_{0xxx} = 0 \tag{6}$$

$$u_{1t} + 6u_0u_{1x} + 6u_{0x}u_1 + u_{1xxx} = R[u_0] \tag{7}$$

$$u_{2t} + 6u_0u_{2x} + 6u_{0x}u_2 + u_{2xxx} = R[u_0,u_1] - 6u_1u_{1x} \tag{8}$$

---

[*] Corresponding author will be addressed: tutao@mail.ustc.edu.cn

and so on. The initial condition now changes into

$$u_0(x,0) = 2A_0^2 \sec h^2 A_0(x - x_0) \tag{9}$$

$$u_n(x,0) = 0, \quad n \geq 1 \tag{10}$$

In the first-order, we write

$$[\partial_t - \hat{L}]u_1 = R[u_0] \tag{11}$$

where

$$\hat{L} = 6u_0\partial_x + 6u_{0x} + \partial_{xxx}. \tag{12}$$

For convenience, we use z instead of x. Then Eq.(12) becomes

$$\hat{L} = A_0^3[\frac{d^3}{dz^3} + (12\sec h^2 z - 4)\frac{d}{dz} - 24\tanh z \sec h^2 z] \tag{13}$$

We can derive the solution to this linear partial differential equation (11) by the method of variables separation:[10−12]

$$u_1(z,t) = u_1^s + regular\ terms \tag{14}$$

so that the singular part of u_1 is given by

$$u_1^s = f_1 t\Phi_1(z) + (4A_0^3 f_1 t^2 + f_2 t)\Phi_2(z) \tag{15}$$

$$f_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0]\Psi_1(z,k)dz \tag{16}$$

$$f_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0]\Psi_2(z,k)dz \tag{17}$$

$$regular\ terms = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G(z,y;t)R[u_0]dy \tag{18}$$

$$G(z,y;t) = -P\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1 - \exp[ik(k^2+4)A_0^3 t]}{ik(k^2+4)A_0^3}\Phi(z,k)\Psi(y,k)dk \tag{19}$$

where

$$\Phi(z,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}k(k^2+4)}[k(k^2+4) + 4i(k^2+2)\tanh z$$
$$-8k\tanh^2 z - 8i\tanh^3 z]\exp[ikz] \tag{20}$$

$$\Phi_1(z,k) = (1 - z\tanh z)\sec h^2 z \tag{21}$$

$$\Phi_2(z,k) = \tanh z \sec h^2 z \tag{22}$$

$$\Psi(z,k) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}k(k^2+4)}[k^2 - 4ik\tanh z - 4\tanh^2 z]\exp[ikz] \tag{23}$$

$$\Psi_1(z,k) = \sec h^2 z \tag{24}$$

$$\Psi_2(z,k) = \tanh z + z\sec h^2 z \tag{25}$$

Thus, the bare perturbation result is

$$u(z,t) = 2A_0^2 \sec h^2 z + \varepsilon[f_1 t\Phi_1(z) + (4A_0^3 f_1 t^2 + f_2 t)\Phi_2(z)]$$
$$+regular\ terms$$
$$z = A_0(x - \xi), \quad \xi = 4A_0^2 t + \xi_0 \tag{26}$$

which is divergent when $t \to \infty$ as anticipated. To deal with the divergence, we use the RG(renormalization group) method which has been introduced by Goldenfeld et. al for global asymptotic analysis.[13−14] First, to regularize the naive perturbation series, we introduce an arbitrary time τ and split t as $t - \tau + \tau$. The quantity A_0 and ξ_0, the "initial amplitude" and "initial position" of the soliton, can not be obtained from the knowledge of $u(z,t)$ at large times, therefore A_0 and ξ_0 are considered to be "unobservable" at large times in the same way that the "bare" electric charge is unobservable at long distances according to quantum electrodynamics.[15] In another words, A_0 and ξ_0 are no longer constants of motion in the presence of the perturbation. We cure this divergence of the bare perturbation series by introducing the renormalized amplitude and position

$$A_R = Z_1^{-1}(\tau)A_0 \tag{27}$$

$$\xi_R = Z_2^{-1}(\tau)\xi_0 \tag{28}$$

The multiplicative renormalization constants Z_1 and Z_2 are introduced to absorb the terms τ. We proceed by assuming the expansion

$$Z_1 = 1 + \sum_1^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon^n \tag{29}$$

$$Z_2 = 1 + \sum_1^{\infty} b_n \varepsilon^n \tag{30}$$

The coefficients a_n and b_n are chosen to eliminate the terms containing τ order by order in ε. In the first order, we obtain

$$a_1 = -\frac{f_1\tau}{4A_R^2} \tag{31}$$

$$b_1 = -\frac{f_2 \tau}{4A_R^3 x_R} \tag{32}$$

and we have the following renormalization perturbation result

$$\begin{aligned} u_R = 2A_R^2 \sec h^2 z + \varepsilon\{ f_1(t-\tau)\Phi_1(z) + \\ [4A_R^3 f_1(t^2-\tau^2) + f_2(t-\tau)]\Phi_2(z)\} + \\ \textit{regular terms.} \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where A_R and ξ_R are now functions of τ. Since τ does not appear in the original problem, the solution should not depend on it. That is to say $\left(\frac{\partial u_R}{\partial \tau}\right)_t = 0$ which is the RG argument of Gell-Mann and Low.[16] We get the following RG equation

$$\frac{dA_R}{d\tau} = \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A_R} f_1 = \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A_R} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0] \sec h^2 z dz \tag{34}$$

$$\frac{d\xi_R}{d\tau} = \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A_R^3} f_2 = \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A_R^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0](\tanh z + z \sec h^2 z) dz \tag{35}$$

which in this case consists of two independent equations. This is analogous to the RG equation in field theory. Finally, setting $\tau = t$ in Eq.(33) eliminates the secular term, giving the result

$$\begin{aligned} u(x,t) = 2A^2 \sec h^2 z + \textit{regular terms}, \\ A = A_R, \quad z = A(x-\xi), \quad \xi = 4A^2 t + \xi_R, \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

where the regular terms are the 'tail" formation[3−4] and

$$\frac{dA}{dt} = \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0] \sec h^2 z dz \tag{37}$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = 4A^2 + \varepsilon \frac{1}{4A^3} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R[u_0](\tanh z + z \sec h^2 z) dz \tag{38}$$

The two important equations which determine how the soliton shape and position are affected by the perturbation are also consistent with those derived by IST.[3−4]

As an example, we consider the damping KdV equation in which $R[u] = -u$. The time dependence of the soliton parameters can be easily obtained from Eq.(37) and Eq.(38). Thus we have

$$A = A_0 \exp\left(-\frac{2\varepsilon t}{3}\right) \tag{39}$$

$$\xi = \frac{3}{\varepsilon} A_0^2 \left[1 - \exp\left(-\frac{4\varepsilon t}{3}\right)\right] \tag{40}$$

which is just the same as that obtained by IST.[17]

Then we consider another example, the KdV-Burgers equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = \varepsilon u_{xx} \tag{41}$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$A = \frac{A_0}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{16}{15}\varepsilon t A_0^2}} \tag{42}$$

$$\xi = \frac{15}{4\varepsilon} \log\left(1 + \frac{16}{15}\varepsilon t A_0^2\right) \tag{43}$$

which is also the same as that derived by IST.[18]

In summary, we have demonstrated that a perturbed KdV equation can be solved by RG method, with some attendant technical advantages compared with other methods. The present approach can easily be generalized also to multiple-soliton state. To avoid unnecessary complications we expound our theory using as example the KdV equation. It is, however, clear from what follows that this does not restrict the general nature of the method for another perturbed evolution equations.

Tao Tu is supported in part by the National Science Foundation in China (No.19875047). The authors would like to thank Dr. Liu Jian Wei, Professor G. Cheng, Professor Huang. N. N for their help in this paper. One of the authors (Tao Tu) gratefully thanks Professor N. Goldenfeld for consideration of his work. The authors also wishes to thank two anonymous referees for their criticism and suggestions.

---

[1] It is well known that the nonlinear evolution equations and their perturbed version model a number of physics phenomena, details can be found in Yu. S. Kivshar and B. A. Malomed, Rev. Mod. Phys. **61**, 763(1989) and numerous references there in.

[2] There are new advance in perturbed soliton dynamics for a vast range of physical applications, several articles would be mentioned here: Y.S. Kivshar, D.E. Pelinovsky, T. Cretegny, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 5032(1998); V. S. Gerdjikov, D.J. Kaup, I.M. Uzunov, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 3943(1996); A. V. Ustinov, Physica. **D123**, 315(1998); A. V. Ustinov, T. Doderer, R.P. Hubener, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 1815(1992) and references there in.

[3] There also exists another approaches which would be mentioned here: the modified conservation laws, the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalism, and a canonical transformations, etc. But they are of limited use than IST.

[4] D. J. Kaup, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **31**, 121(1976); D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newll, Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A. **361**, 413(1978).

[5] V. I. Karpman, JETP. Lett. **25**, 271(1977); V. I. Karpman and E. M. Maslov, JETP. **46**, 281(1977); Phys. Lett. **A60**, 307(1977); V. I. Karpman, Phy. Scr. **20**, 462(1979).

[6] J. P. Keener and D.W. Mclaughlin, Phys. Rev. **A16**, 777(1977); D.W. Mclaughlin and A. C. Scott, Phys. Rev. **A18**, 1652(1978).

[7] K. A. Gorshkov, L. A. Ostrovskii, and E. N. Pelinovsky, Proc. IEEE. **82**, 1511(1974); K. A. Gorshkov, L. A. Ostrovskii, Physica. **D3**, 428(1981).

[8] M. B. Fogel, S. E. Trullinger, A. R. Bishop, and J. A. Krumhansl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **36**, 1411(1976); Phys. Rev. B**15**, 1578(1977).

[9] This perturbed KdV equation play an especially important role in applications, for instance, in the description of a variable depth in the shallow water theory.

[10] J. P. Keener and D.W. Mclaughlin, J. Math. Phys. **18,** 2008**(**1977**).**

[11] J. Yan and Y. Tang, Phys**.** Rev. **E54**, 6816(1996).

[12] E. Mann, J. Math. Phys. **38**, 3772(1997).

[13] N. Goldenfeld, *Lectures on Phase Transitions and the Renormalization Group*, (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1992); N. Goldenfeld, O. Martin, Y. Oono, and F. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1361(1990); L. Y. Chen, N. Goldenfeld, and Y. Oono, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**,1311(1994); Phys. Rev. **E54**, 376(1996); Y.Oono, Int. J. Mod. Phy. **B14**, 1327(2000).

[14] R. Gaham, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 2185(1996); K. Matsuba, K. Nozaki, Phys. Rev. **E56**, 4926(1997); S. I. Ei, K. Fujii, T. Kunihiro, Ann.Phys. **280**,236 (2000); K. Nozaki, Y. Oono, and Y. Shiwa, Phys. Rev. **E62**, 4501(2000).

[15] N. N. Bogoliubov and D. V. Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields*, third edition, (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1980); J.Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, (Clarendon Press., Oxford, 1989).

[16] M. Gell-Mann and F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. **95**, 1300 (1954).

[17] D. J. Kaup and A. C. Newll, Proc. R. Soc. London. Ser. A. **361**, 413(1978).

[18] V. I. Karpman, Phys. Lett. **A60**, 307(1977).

2